IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.78 OF 2005

Mrs.Sunita Ramesh Gargote ...Applicant

Versus

Shri Ramesh Gangaram Gargote ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Bhavin Bhatia i/b Mr.Bipin Joshi for Applicant.

Mr.S.C.Deshmukh i/b Mr.R.A.Thorat for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

APRIL 28, 2006.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the Application. No reply has been filed to counter the assertions made in this Application.

2. Initially parties were persuaded to amicably settle their differences but it appears that the Respondent is unwilling to even negotiate for settlement. From the averments in the Application, it is obvious that Petitioner is

dependent upon her aged parents and will not be able to attend to Court proceedings at Pune. Applicant has no means of livelihood.

3. Taking overall view of the matter, in the interest of justice, Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (A). No order as to costs.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.